

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/884,844	44 06/19/2001		Robert L. Payer	1066us	2495	
25263	7590	01/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
J GRANT			ERDEM,	ERDEM, FAZLI		
AXSUN TE 1 FORTUN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BILLERICA, MA 01821				2826		
				DATE MAILED: 01/26/2009	DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		<u> </u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/884,844	PAYER, ROBERT L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Fazli Erdem	2826					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Secondary</u>	entember 2004						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	acom representation (1 10-102)					

Application/Control Number: 09/884,844

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bargar et al. (5,222,170) in view of Kluitmans et al. (5,065,226) further in view of Wolf et al. (6,414,835).

Regarding Claims 1-9, Bargar et al. in Figs. 2 and 12 disclose a package comprising an optical fiber mounted in a feedthrough tube which is aligned with a laser through an aperture in a wall of the package. The alignment is held by a firs alignment means which is secure to the wall and to the feedthrough tube relatively close to the wall and by a second alignment means which is also fixed to the wall. Bargar et al. fail to disclose the required ground bonding structure and the ground electrodes/wirebonds are electrically connected to each other. However, Kluitmans et al. disclose a laser diode module structure in which in Fig.1 the required ground pad structure is disclosed. Furthermore, Wolf et al. disclose a capacitive filtered feedthrough array for an implantable medical device where in column 20, lines 58-63, the required ground electrodes that are electrically connected to each other.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required ground pad structure and the electrically connected ground electrodes in Bargar et al. as taught by Kluitmans et al. and Wolf et al. respectively, in order to have a semiconductor package structure with higher reliability.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,844

Art Unit: 2826

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,844 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE January 24, 2005

NATHAN VALVES

SUPERVISORY PATER ERABUMED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800